## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	Case Number <u>CR-10-0030</u> /RS
V. Hedrillike Jr., Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Assistant U.S. Attorney PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	2(f), a detention hearing was held on
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described i convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) w offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed s from imprisonment, whichever is later.	thile on release pending trial for a federal, state or local ince the date of conviction or the release of the person
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no conditions after of any other person and the community.	
There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) defendant has committed an offense	
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 er
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm d	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	nunity.
/ / No presumption applies.	Fil-
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
The defendant has not come forward with any evidence detailed	ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
will be ordered detained.	/ 9 0-
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to reb	ut the applicable presumption to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	ut the applicable presumption to the applicable present to the applicable presumption to the applicable present to the applicable pr
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III., PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL	ICABLE)
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	ICABLE)
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the states have been supported by the states of the defendant and the states have been supported by the s	ICABLE)  see evidence that no condition or combination of us required, AND/OR
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLEMENT THE United States has proved to a preponderance of the	ICABLE)  see evidence that no condition or combination of us required, AND/OR
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the states have been supported by the states of the defendant and the states have been supported by the s	ICABLE)  see evidence that no condition or combination of us required, AND/OR  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL  The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant a   / The United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the part IV. Written Findings of Fact and Statement of Reasonably.	ICABLE)  se evidence that no condition or combination of us required, AND/OR  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  SONS FOR DETENTION
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL  The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant a   / The United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the part IV. Written Findings of Fact and Statement of Reasonably.	ICABLE)  see evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL  The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant a   / The United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the part IV. Written Findings of Fact and Statement of Reasonably.	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  SONS FOR DETENTION  18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLED THE United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant of the United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLED The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant of the United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:    The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in a thearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The Court has taken into account the factors set out in the factors and the factors are the factors and the factors are the fact	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The second of the information submitted and the information submitte
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLED The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant of the United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:    The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The state of the information submitted the state of the information submitted the state of the state
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLED The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant of the United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the comment of the Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:    The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:   The defendant in the court of the AUSA have waived we part V. Directions Regarding Detention  The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General contents and the AUSA have waived we part V. Directions Regarding Detention	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The property of the information submitted the following for an immersion of the information submitted the following for the information of conditions aunity.
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.  PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLED The United States has proved to a preponderance of the conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant of the United States has proved by clear and convincing will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community of the Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:    The Court has taken into account the factors set out in at hearing and finds as follows:	ICABLE)  The evidence that no condition or combination of as required, AND/OR  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The evidence that no condition or combination of conditions aunity.  The person of the information submitted the first of the information submitted the information in informa

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_